

**MEMO ENDORSED****SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP**FOUR TIMES SQUARE  
NEW YORK 10036-6522TEL (212) 735-3000  
FAX (212) 735-2000  
www.skadden.com

## FIRM/AFFILIATE OFFICES

BOSTON  
CHICAGO  
HOUSTON  
LOS ANGELES  
PALO ALTO  
SAN FRANCISCO  
WASHINGTON, D.C.  
WILMINGTON  
BEIJING  
BRUSSELS  
FRANKFURT  
HONG KONG  
LONDON  
MOSCOW  
PARIS  
SINGAPORE  
SYDNEY  
TOKYO  
TORONTO  
VIENNADIRECT DIAL  
212-735-2550DIRECT FAX  
212-735-2550E-MAIL ADDRESS  
J.KARAN@SKADDEN.COM

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:

FILED: 9/8/05

September 6, 2005

SEP 8 2005

**BY FACSIMILE**Honorable Kenneth M. Karas  
United States District Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
500 Pearl Street, Room 920  
New York, New York 10007Re: In re JAKKS Pacific, Inc. Shareholders  
Class Action Litigation, 1:04-CV-08807-KMK

Dear Judge Karas:

Pursuant to the instructions of Your Honor's Law Clerk, we write on behalf of Defendants Jakks Pacific, Inc. ("JAKKS"), Jack Friedman, Steven G. Berman, and Joel M. Bennett (collectively, the "Individual Defendants" and together with JAKKS, the "Defendants") to request an enlargement of the page limit for Defendants' memorandum of law in support of its motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint (the "Motion to Dismiss") which is scheduled to be filed on Friday, September 9, 2005 pursuant to Your Honor's May 11, 2005 Scheduling Order.


We respectfully submit that an enlargement of the page limit specified in Your Honor's individual practices is warranted for this critical dispositive motion for a number of reasons, including: (1) Defendants' need to address the multiplicity of issues raised by Plaintiffs' 76 page Amended Complaint; (2) the Motion to Dismiss represents the consolidated response of the corporate Defendant, JAKKS, and all of the Individual Defendants and addresses defenses unique to each; (3) the purported class period, which extends from December 3, 1999 to October 19, 2004, is nearly five years long; (4) the Amended Complaint challenges statements in more than 40 separate public filings; and (5) the class action involves unique timing issues that need to be addressed by the Court, and additional complexity, arising from its relationship to the underlying litigation between JAKKS and World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc., captioned World Wrestling Entertainment, Inc. v. JAKKS Pacific, Inc., et al., 1:04-CV-08223-KMK.

For the foregoing reasons we respectfully request an enlargement of the page limits as follows: (1) 60 pages for the memorandum of law in support of Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint; (2) 60 pages for the memorandum of law in opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint; and (3) 30 pages for Defendants' reply brief in further support of their motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

**MEMO ENDORSED**

We have conferred with counsel for the Plaintiffs and they have advised us that they take no position on our request for 60 pages, but assuming it is granted, request that the Defendants' reply brief be no more than 20 pages.

Respectfully submitted,




Jonathan J. Lerner

cc: All Counsel

The request for page enlargement  
is GRANTED. The limits are: 60, 60, and 30.

SO ORDERED



KENNETH M. KARAS U.S.D.J.